



To: All Members of the Council

Town House,
ABERDEEN, 24 February 2015

COUNCIL

The Members of the **COUNCIL** are requested to meet in Council Chamber - Town House on **WEDNESDAY, 4 MARCH 2015 at 10.30am.**

JANE G. MACEACHRAN
HEAD OF LEGAL AND DEMOCRATIC SERVICES

BUSINESS

- 1 Admission of Burgesses
- 2 Members are requested to agree that any exempt business on this agenda be considered with the press and public excluded
- 3 Requests for Deputations

MINUTES OF COUNCIL

- 4(a) Minute of Meeting of Council of 17 December 2014 - for approval (circulated separately)
- 4(b) Minute of Meeting of Urgent Business Committee of 28 January 2015 - for approval (Pages 1 - 2)

BUSINESS STATEMENT, MOTIONS LIST AND OTHER MINUTES

- 5(a) Business Statement (Pages 3 - 10)
- 5(b) Motions List (Pages 11 - 14)
- 5(c) Minute of Meeting of Guildry and Mortification Funds Sub Committee of 21 January 2015 - for approval (Pages 15 - 18)

REFERRALS FROM COMMITTEES IN TERMS OF STANDING ORDER 36(3)

- 6 None to date

GENERAL BUSINESS

- 7(a) Camping in Designated Places (Pages 19 - 26)
- 7(b) Joint Inspection of Children's Services (to follow)
- 7(c) Draft Health and Social Care Integration Scheme - to be referred by Shadow Integration Joint Board of 24 February 2015 (to follow)
- 7(d) Aberdeen City Region Deal (to follow)
- 7(e) COSLA/Scottish Local Government Partnership (Pages 27 - 36)
- 7(f) Appointments (Pages 37 - 42)
- 7(g) Aberdeen Sports Village and Sport Aberdeen - Recruitment of Board Members (Pages 43 - 68)
- 7(h) Twinning and International Partnerships (Pages 69 - 78)

MOTIONS

- 8(a) Lord Provost George Adam

“That this Council congratulates the Guild of Burgesses on their 800th anniversary: in so doing celebrates the enormous contribution made by Burgesses in the development of Aberdeen, remembers those who lost their lives in the defence of the city, and looks forward to continuing our long and fruitful relationship.”

8(b) Councillor Yuill

“That this Council:-

1. Notes the recent decision by the City of Edinburgh Council to introduce a 20mph speed limit covering most of Edinburgh and similar moves by a number of English local authorities.
2. Notes the positive effect on pedestrian and cyclist survivability in accidents involving vehicles travelling at 20mph rather than 30mph
3. Instruct officers to report on the feasibility, desirability and implications of introducing a similar arrangement in Aberdeen.”

8(c) Councillor Yuill

“That this Council deplores the decision by Aberdeen International Airport to introduce a £1 charge for those dropping off passengers at the airport.”

8(d) Councillor Reynolds

“That following the opening of the South Glasgow University Hospital Aberdeen City Council calls on the Scottish Government to award a ring fenced grant to cover the cost of installing a new multi storey car park on the ARI site, similar to the 3 multi storey car parks in the new Glasgow Hospital.”

8(e) Councillor Reynolds

“That Aberdeen City Council calls on the National Air Traffic Services (NATS) and the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) to review the current policy regarding helicopters overflying the residential areas of the Bridge of Don and revert to helicopters being obliged to fly north of the residential area concerned and calls upon the local Member of Parliament Malcolm Bruce to give this request his support.”

BUSINESS THE COUNCIL MAY WISH TO CONSIDER IN PRIVATE

9(a) Aberdeen Exhibition and Conference Centre (AECC) Development (Pages 79 - 184)

Website Address: www.aberdeencity.gov.uk

Should you require any further information about this agenda, please contact Martyn Orchard, tel 01224 523097 or email morchard@aberdeencity.gov.uk

Briefing for Elected Members on the Importance of EHRIAs

As an elected member, you will know you have an important role to play in championing equality within and outside Aberdeen City Council. There is also a scrutiny role for you to ensure that equality considerations are included in the decision making and governance of the council.

In exercising your duties as an elected member, you will make decisions which shape the council budget as well as the practice, strategies, plans and policies of the council. You have to make sure that the relevant equality implications are considered and so need to have sufficient information to satisfy our legal requirement to pay “due regard” to equality. Since public authorities subject to the equality duties are also likely to be subject to the obligations under the Human Rights Act, our impact assessment tool also considers the potential impact our decisions could have on human rights – Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA).

EHRIA forms are included in the agenda pack, and this is important as it ensures that the impact of any proposals being considered by the Committee is clear at the point of decision making. These are included at the back of the report, as an appendix. Committee members should feel able to ask questions of report authors in relation to EHRIA forms, including questions about why an impact assessment has not been carried out/is not included.

There is an onus on elected members to make sure that EHRIAs are robust and give appropriate weighting in decision-making processes. In recent guidance from the Equality and Human Rights Commission, relevant case law examples show the Courts stating that, the public authority had to demonstrate that it had paid ‘due regard’ to its equality obligations.

Policies and practices should be assessed for impact across the three parts of the public sector duty (eliminate unlawful treatment, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relationships).

These duties do not prevent us from taking many difficult decisions such as reorganisations and relocations, redundancies, and service reductions, nor do they stop us from making decisions, that may affect one group more than others. Whilst we have a duty to involve groups of people who have protected characteristics, this does not give them the right of veto regarding any of our budget proposals or other council decisions.

What the equality duties do is enable us to demonstrate that we are making decisions in a fair, transparent and accountable way, considering the needs and the rights of different members of our communities.

The EHRIA will allow you to see that people with protected characteristics are enjoying equal access to our services, and where they are not, or are over/under-represented, or are not getting as good a service, the EHRIA gives the opportunity to do something to resolve the situation.

The equality target groups, or people with protected characteristics, include age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage or civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex (gender) and sexual orientation.

The sort of questions to ask yourself as you read an EHRIA might include:

- How might the proposal impact on ethnic minority communities, including Gypsy / Travellers?
- How might the proposal impact on people with a disability?
- Would the impacts on women and men or the Transgender community differ?
- Would the proposal affect ethnic minority women and men in the same ways?
- Would the proposal affect women and men with disabilities in the same ways?
- What about age considerations when thinking about impacts?

It is important to remember that the potential impact is not just about numbers. Evidence of a serious impact on a small number of individuals is just as important as something that will impact on many people. You should also think about how individual proposals might relate to one another. This is because a series of changes to different policies or services could have a severe impact on particular protected groups.

The EHRIA is therefore an invaluable tool to assist you in ensuring that the interests of all groups are properly taken into account when difficult choices about resources are required.

A case study on “Southall Black Sisters – the need to impact assess decisions” is set out below.

Southall Black Sisters (SBS) provides specialist services to Asian and Black Caribbean women, particularly in relation to domestic violence issues.

In June 2007, Ealing council announced proposals to move away from funding particular organisations (such as SBS), towards commissioning services (including domestic violence services) following a competitive bidding exercise.

During discussions about criteria for commissioning domestic violence services SBS had highlighted the adverse impact the criteria could have on pre-existing domestic violence services provided to women from ethnic minority communities, and so an equality impact assessment should be carried out.

Ealing carried out belated impact assessments on proposals before deciding to proceed with the existing domestic violence services commissioning criteria, resulting in two SBS service users launching a judicial review of the decision.

Ultimately, Ealing conceded these submissions and withdrew from the case. However, in an oral judgement, Lord Justice Moses reiterated the importance of undertaking an equality impact assessment, and also the importance of carrying out an impact assessment before policy formulation.

Should you require any help with EHRIAs please contact me at sandrab@aberdeencity.gov.uk or 01224 523039 or Faiza at fnacef@aberrdeencity.gov.uk or 01224 523183